THE BASICITY OF PHOSPHINAMIDES AND THE SITE OF PROTONATION1

Paul Haake and Toru Koizumi

Department of Chemistry, Hall-Atwater Laboratories Wesleyan University, Middletown, Connecticut 06457

(Received in USA 20 October 1970; received in UK for publication 2 November 1970)

Phosphinamides (e.g., $\underline{1}$: $X = NR_2$) are strikingly labile in acidic solution. ² A probable reason involves the N-protonated amide ($\underline{2}$) as the reactive species. ² We have been able to evaluate pK_{BH}^+ values for phosphinamides, and we have some evidence concerning the dominant form of the conjugate acid ($\underline{2}$ or $\underline{3}$).

Although $\underline{1}$ (X = NR₂) hydrolyzes very rapidly in acid, $^2\underline{4}$ (X = NR₂) reacts slowly enough 3 so that it is possible to observe nmr spectra over a range of acid concentrations. Therefore, we have measured pK_{BH^+} (pK_a of protonated $\underline{4}$) values by the method which involves determination of chemical shifts as a function of acidity. The results are:

| Compound | Solvent ^a | Observed Chem. Shifts | pK _{BH} + |
|---|--------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| $\frac{4}{4} : X = N(CH_3)_2$ | Dioxane-H ₂ O(5:95) | N(CH ₃) ₂ ^b | -1.7 |
| $\underline{\underline{4}}: X = NHCH_3$ | 11 | N-CH ₃ ^C | -3.2 |
| $\frac{-}{4}$: X = OCH ₃ | Н _Z O | O-CH ₃ ^C | -5.2 |

aH₂SO₄ added:

^bRelative to $(CH_3)_4N^+$ and $(CH_3)_3^+NOH$ - both gave pK_{RH+} = -1.7

CRelative to (CH3)4N+

The large difference in pK's of the mono and dimethylamides suggests N-protonation; such a large substituent effect would be unlikely if there were O-protonation (in carboxylic amides, 5 methyl substitution causes small effects).

Observation of J(P-X-C-H) in a series of phosphinate esters and phosphine oxides, protonated and unprotonated, reveals that in every case protonation results in an increase in coupling constant.⁴ However, protonation of phosphinamides causes a decrease in J(P-N-C-H).⁶ Representative data are:

| Compound | $\underline{\underline{1}}$: X = OCH ₃ | $(CH_3CH_2)_3PO$ | $\underline{\underline{1}} \colon X = N(CH_3)_2$ | $\underline{\underline{4}}$: X = N(CH ₃) ₂ | $\underline{4}$: X = OCH ₃ |
|--|--|------------------------|--|--|--|
| J(CHCl ₃)(Hz) | 11.1 | 16.6(D ₂ O) | 11.1 | 10.2 | 10.0 |
| J(H ₂ SO ₄)(Hz) | 12.1 | 19.5 | 10.0 | 7.9 | 10.7 |

These data also suggest N-protonation of the amides in contrast to the O-protonation which must be true for the esters and oxides. The increases in coupling are probably due to the increase in positive charge on phosphorus⁷ resulting from O-protonation. The decrease in coupling on protonation of the amides is probably due to decrease in the P-N bond order and decrease in the s-character in the nitrogen sigma bonding orbitals resulting from N-protonation: both effects should cause a decrease in J(P-N-C-H). Further research will be required to determine if this technique is a reliable tool for detecting point of protonation.

This hypothesis of N-protonation of phosphinamides must be tested further and may not extend to all phosphorus amides. However, it is relevant that the nitrogen atom in $\underline{1}$ (X = N(CH₃)₂ is non planar; the CNC angle is 114°. ⁸ This is in marked contrast to the planar nitrogen in carboxylic amides which O-protonate.

REFERENCES

- Research supported by grant AM-13453 from the United States Public Health Service and grant GP-13453 from the National Science Foundation.
- 2. P. Haake and T. Koizumi, Tetrahedron Letters, 4845 (1970).
- P. Haake, R. D. Cook, T. Koizumi, P. S. Ossip, W. Schwarz, and D. A. Tyssee, <u>J. Am.</u> Chem. Soc., <u>92</u>, 3828 (1970).
- 4. P. Haake, R. D. Cook and G. H. Hurst, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 2650 (1967).
- 5. E. M. Arnett, Prog. Phys. Org. Chem., 1, 223 (1963).
- 6. We have had some difficulty reproducing observations on $\frac{1}{2}$ (X = N(CH₃)₂). In some cases hydrolysis proceeds too rapidly to observe J(P-N-C-H). In other experiments, this coupling can be observed before hydrolysis is complete.
- 7. J. B. Hendrickson, M. L. Maddox, J. J. Sims and H. D. Kaesz, Tetrahedron, 20, 449, (1964).
- 8. M. Haque and C. N. Caughlan, Chem. Comm., 921 (1966).